

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
10 AT TACOMA

11 DEREK E. GRONQUIST,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 FAYE NICHOLAS, HEATHER ANNIS,
15 CC3 PAUL PEMBERTON, STEVE
16 BLAKEMAN, KAREN BRUNSON,
17 HAROLD CLARKE, STATE RAMSEY,
18 RICHARD MORGAN, STEVE TOOHEY,
19 JOHN DOES I, II AND III CLALLAM BAY
20 CORRECTIONS CENTER OFFICERS,
21 DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, and
22 STATE OF WASHINGTON,

23 Defendants.

24 CASE NO. C10-5374RBL

25 ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
26 OBJECTIONS TO MAGISTRATE
27 JUDGE'S ORDER DENYING
28 REMAND

29
30 THIS MATTER comes on before the Court upon Plaintiff's Objection [Dkt. #15] to the
31 Magistrate Judge's Order [Dkt. #13] denying Plaintiff's motion for remand. Having considered the
32 entirety of the records and file herein, the Court finds and rules as follows:

33
34 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72, a party may object to a Magistrate
35 Judge's order and request review by a District Judge. Plaintiff Gronquist objects to the Magistrate
36 Judge's Order denying his motion for remand. A review of Plaintiff's motion, the Magistrate Judge's
37 Order, and Plaintiff's Objectio indicates that the Magistrate Judge's Order denying remand was not
38 erroneous nor contrary to law. Plaintiff's Objection is therefore **DENIED**.

1 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

2 The Clerk shall send uncertified copies of this order to all counsel of record, and to any party
3 appearing pro se.

4 Dated this 30th day of September, 2010.

5 
6

7 RONALD B. LEIGHTON
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE